

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 VERONIKA KIM CARDENAS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 CROWLEY MARITIME
14 CORPORATION, et al.,

15 Defendants.


CASE NO. C18-0782JLR

ORDER STRIKING MOTION
FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

16 Before the court is Defendant Intrepid Personnel & Provisioning, Inc.’s (“Intrepid
17 Personnel”) motion for a protective order striking Plaintiff Veronika Cardenas’ Federal
18 Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) deposition notice. (Mot. (Dkt. # 25).) Intrepid
19 Personnel filed that motion without first requesting a conference with the court. (*See*
20 Dkt.) The motion therefore contravenes the court’s August 1, 2018, scheduling order.
21 (*See* Sched. Order (Dkt. # 19) at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) (“[P]ursuant to
22 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court ‘direct[s] that before moving for an order

1 relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court' by notifying
2 [the courtroom deputy]" (second alteration in original)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P.
3 16(b)(3)(B)(v) (permitting the court, in its scheduling order, to "direct that before moving
4 for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court").
5 The court therefore STRIKES Intrepid Personnel's motion for a protective order (Dkt.
6 # 25) without prejudice to renewing the motion in a manner that comports with the
7 court's scheduling order.

8 Dated this 7th day of March, 2019.

9
10 

11 JAMES L. ROBART
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22